

I.3 alialga

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY POLLUTION REPORT

I. HEADING

Date:

February 12, 1999

Subject:

Michigan Chrome and Chemical Removal Site, Detroit, Wayne

County, Michigan

From:

P.C. Lall, OSC, U.S. EPA, RS1, Grosse Ile, MI

To:

| Κ. | Mould, USEPA, OSWER, Washington, D.C | | | | | | | | | (LAN MAIL) |
|----|---------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|--|--|------------|
| W. | Carney, Acting Chief, EERB, Chicago, | IL | | | | | | | | (LAN MAIL) |
| J. | El-Zein, Chief RS1, Grosse Ile, MI | | | | | | | | | (LAN_MAIL) |
| W. | Messenger, Chief ESS, Chicago, IL . | | | | | | | | | (LAN MAIL) |
| W. | Ryczek, ESS, Chicago, IL | | | | | | | | | (LAN_MAIL) |
| R. | Smith, ORC, Chicago, IL | | | | | | | | | (LAN MAIL) |
| V. | Narsete, EERB, Chicago, IL | | | | | | | | | (LAN_MAIL) |
| L. | Fabinski, ATSDR, Chicago, IL | | | | | | | | | (LAN MAIL) |
| G. | Carpenter, MDEQ, Detroit, MI | | | | | | | | | (E-mail) |
| В. | Boyle, MDCH, Lansing, MI | | | | | | | | | (E-mail) |
| S. | Lile, City of Detroit, Detroit, MI | | | | | | | | | (E-mail) |
| Μ. | Chezik, DOI, Philadelphia, PA | | | | | | | | | (E-mail) |
| Du | ty Officer, National Response Center, | Wash | nin | ngt | on | , [| O.C | | | (E-mail) |

POLREP No.: 5

II. BACKGROUND

Site No.:

B550

Delivery Order Numbers: Response Authority: 0011 CERCLA

Cerclis Number:

MID005378161

NPL Status:

No Yes

MDEQ Notification:

42° 23' 50" North/83° 00' 5" West

Latitude/Longitude:

December 10, 1998

Start Date:
Completion Date:

NΙZ

III. SITE INFORMATION

A. <u>Incident Category</u>

CERCLA fund removal site.

B. <u>Site Description</u>

See POLREP #1.

IV. RESPONSE INFORMATION

A. Situation

1. Current situation

The Emergency and Rapid Response Services (ERRS) contractor Earthtech, Richmond, Virginia, continues removal activities. Eighty-one bags of asbestos-containing material (ACM) have been loaded into a rolloff box awaiting disposal. All floor piles of waste have been cleaned up and

placed into cubic yard boxes for disposal. Removal/cleanup of vent material is ongoing. Vat cleaning has been completed. Removal of material from trenches has begun.

2. Removal activities to date: February 5, 1999 - February 10, 1999.

On February 5, 1999, ERRS continued removal/cleaning of vents.

On February 6, 1999, vent removal/cleaning operations continued. Asbestos containing material (ACM) was removed from furnace and double bagged for disposal.

On February 8, 1999, ERRS investigated electrical boxes for possible polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) contamination, but found none. Vent removal/cleaning activities continued. Two additional sampling points were identified, sampled, and sent to the lab for analyses.

On February 9, 1999, ERRS continued vent removal/cleaning operations. A 30 cubic yard rolloff box was delivered to site for the disposal of the bags of ACM. Above ground storage tank (AST) 7 was cleaned and rendered useless.

On February 10, 1999, ERRS mobilized a transportation and disposal coordinator to site to make arrangements for waste disposal. Vent cleaning activities continued. Vat cleaning was completed. ERRS began removal of material from trenches. Trench 3 was completed. An additional four bags of ACM were collected, resulting in a total of 81 bags. The bags of ACM were loaded into the rolloff box and covered with visqueen.

B. Planned Removal Activities

ERRS contractor Earthtech will arrange for the transportation and disposal of the contents of the aboveground storage tanks (ASTs), vats, trenches, drums, and containers on the site.

C. Next Steps

Complete consolidation, packaging, and disposal of waste on site.

D. <u>Key Issues</u>

Potentially responsible party (PRP) has contracted Constega-Rover and Associates (CRA), Romulus, Michigan, to oversee the EPA's activities.

V. COST INFORMATION

Estimated EPA costs as of 2/10/99 = \$20,531.35Estimated START costs as of 2/10/99 = \$20,587.50Estimated ERRS costs as of 2/09/99 = \$82,668.50

Note: The estimated ERRS costs reported in Polrep 4 as of 1/27/99 = \$56,628.64, was actually the estimated costs as of 2/3/99.

VI. DISPOSITION OF WASTES

No waste has been disposed at this time.